

Diet Selection, Forage Quality, and Forage Availability: Could Forage Limit Moose
Populations in Northern Idaho?

A Thesis

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

with a

Major in Natural Resources

in the

College of Graduate Studies

University of Idaho

By

Thomas V. Schrempp

Major Professor: Janet L. Rachlow, Ph.D.

Committee Members: Lisa A. Shipley, Ph.D.; Ryan A. Long, Ph.D.;

Timothy R. Johnson, Ph.D.

Department Administrator: Lisette P. Waits, Ph.D.

August 2017

ProQuest Number: 10602905

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10602905

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

Authorization to Submit Thesis

This thesis of Thomas V. Schrempp, submitted for the degree of Master of Science with a Major in Natural Resources and titled “Diet Selection, Forage Quality, and Forage Availability: Could Forage Limit Moose Populations in Northern Idaho?,” has been reviewed in final form. Permission, as indicated by the signatures and dates below, is now granted to submit final copies to the College of Graduate Studies for approval.

Major Professor: _____ Date: _____
Janet L. Rachlow, Ph.D.

Committee Members: _____ Date: _____
Lisa A. Shipley, Ph.D.

_____ Date: _____
Ryan A. Long, Ph.D.

_____ Date: _____
Timothy R. Johnson, Ph.D.

Department Administrator _____ Date: _____
Lisette P. Waits, PhD.

Abstract

Several populations of Shiras moose (*Alces alces shirasi*) in northern Idaho have declined in recent decades for unknown reasons. Limitations in quality or quantity of forage have been proposed to drive or contribute to these declines, but relatively few data are available to assess this hypothesis. To fill this information gap, we evaluated diet selection, analyzed forage shrubs for nutritional quality, conducted field sampling to model forage availability, and looked for evidence of forage limitations. Moose in northern Idaho exhibited greater selection for forage species that are of moderate to high quality and highly available on the landscape. Variation in predicted forage quantity among GMUs was correlated with variation in indices of population performance. Results suggest that forage is an underlying factor in moose population performance trends observed across northern Idaho. This information can be used to shape forest management strategies and harvest recommendations, and to direct future research into proximate factors influencing Shiras moose throughout their range.

Acknowledgements

Many people provided support that made this project possible. It has been a privilege to work with my major advisor Dr. Janet Rachlow, who was always positive, supportive, and exhibited a tireless work ethic. Dr. Rachlow provided invaluable encouragement when I needed it most. My committee members Dr. Tim Johnson, Dr. Ryan Long, and Dr. Lisa Shipley provided critical advice and feedback at all stages of the project that I am eternally grateful for. I also am thankful to John Cook and Rachel Cook for their constructive feedback during the infancy of project development. A big thanks to laboratory manager Dora Mtui for setting me up with laboratory equipment. I am extremely grateful to Dr. Jocelyn Aycrigg and Ryan McCarley for collaborating with this project on GIS analyses. I also am grateful to the 2015 Wildlife Ecology class students for assisting with vegetation sampling and drying.

This project would not have been possible without the support of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game for funding and guidance. A special thanks to Mark Hurley, Steve Nadeau, and George Pauley for their unwavering support, feedback, and advice. I am indebted to field sampling technicians Will Marks, Rob Loesch, Darren Palmer, Alyssa McGill, Devin Norland, Mike Newberry, and Sean Zweifler for persevering through challenging conditions including heat, smoke, rain, cold, insects, and rugged terrain. Finally, I would like to thank my girlfriend, Kendra Jensen, for her patience, selflessness, and support.

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my late friend Lavon Bradley (L.B.) Ellis. A true lover of nature, the time and thoughtful conversation we shared over many summer days at Mystic Meadow taught me to see and appreciate beauty in all things.

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| Authorization to Submit..... | ii |
| Abstract..... | iii |
| Acknowledgements..... | iv |
| Dedication..... | v |
| Table of Contents..... | vi |
| List of Tables..... | vii |
| List of Figures..... | ix |

Diet selection, forage quality, and forage availability: could forage limit moose populations in northern Idaho?

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Methods..... | 5 |
| Results..... | 16 |
| Discussion..... | 22 |
| Conclusions..... | 32 |
| References..... | 36 |
| Tables..... | 47 |
| Figures..... | 53 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Biophysical characteristics of Game Management Units (GMUs) included in analyses of forage for moose in northern Idaho, USA (excludes areas of non-moose habitat, e.g., urban areas and agriculture)..... | 47 |
| Table 2. Environmental covariates used to model shrub presence and volume to estimate forage availability for moose in northern Idaho, USA. | 48 |
| Table 3. Criteria used to assign trend index values for moose populations in northern Idaho, USA, based on harvest data from 1984 to 2016 for each game management unit (GMU). An overall population trend index was calculated by summing the assigned values for each data source. | 49 |
| Table 4. Mean digestible energy and digestible protein on a dry matter basis for leaves and stems of shrubs consumed by moose in northern Idaho, USA. Gray shading indicates high-energy and protein forage species..... | 50 |
| Table 5. Mean (\bar{x}) and standard deviation (SD) for the model fit statistics (area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic, Cohen's Kappa (Kappa), and percent predicted correct (PCC)) generated by iterating cross-validated lasso regression for each shrub presence model 30 times. Also reported is the mean shrub volume (cm^3/m^2) for each forage shrub | 51 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 6. Relationships (Pearson correlation, r) between indices of moose population performance and forage volume estimates for 18 game management units (GMUs) in northern Idaho, USA. Forage volume estimates (cm^3/m^2) represent current estimates for 2016. Change estimates represent percent change in volume from 1984 to 2016..... | 52 |
|--|----|

PREVIEW

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1. Location of the study area and field sampling Game Management Units (GMUs) in northern Idaho, USA..... | 53 |
| Figure 2. Data generation and processing steps for accomplishing study objectives evaluating moose forage and nutrition in northern Idaho, USA..... | 54 |
| Figure 3. Visual comparison between a) 2011 Landsat 5 TM Imagery and b) 2011 tree canopy cover from the National Land Cover Database showed concordance between the data layers. Similar concordance is apparent between c) 1984 Landsat 5 TM Imagery and d) estimated 1984 tree canopy cover. Imagery scene was from GMU15 in northern Idaho, USA. Brighter pixels represent areas of decreased tree canopy.. | 55 |
| Figure 4. Mean electivity and proportion with bootstrapped (n = 1,000) 95% confidence intervals for moose forage species identified via microhistological analyses of 43 fecal samples collected in northern Idaho, USA. Forage availability for electivity values was based on predicted forage in the 500-m buffer around sample collection sites. The vertical dashed line represents use proportional to availability (electivity = 0) and the mean proportion across all shrubs. Electivity index was not calculated for huckleberry spp. because predicted availability was zero for 5 of 7 diets in which it occurred..... | 56 |
| Figure 5. Estimated shrub volume (cm ³ /m ²) for high, moderate, and low-energy forage shrubs in 21 Game Management Units (GMUs) in northern Idaho, USA, in 2016 | 57 |

Figure 6. Spatial distribution of moose population trend and productivity index values estimated from harvest and management data since 1984 for 21 Game Management Units (GMU) in northern Idaho, USA.....58

Figure 7. Percent change in estimated volume (cm^3/m^2) of total forage shrubs, and high-energy and moderate-energy shrubs consumed by moose in northern Idaho Game Management Units (GMUs) from 1984 to 2016. Low-energy forage was not included due to insignificant correlations with performance indices.....59

Figure 8. Relationships between indices of moose population performance and forage quantity and quality parameters for 18 Game Management Units in northern Idaho, USA60

Figure 9. Percent change in estimated volume (cm^3/m^2) of total forage shrubs, and high-energy and moderate-energy shrubs for northern Idaho Game Management Units (GMUs) from 2011 to 2016. Low-energy forage was not included due to insignificant correlations with performance indices. Changes in forage are the result of recent disturbances, and therefore, represent the expected trend in forage levels due to the delay in shrub recruitment and growth post disturbance; however, the impact of forest succession on forage was not estimated here due to the short time period used to assess recent disturbance.....61

Diet selection, forage quality, and forage availability: could forage limit moose populations in northern Idaho?

Introduction

Forested lands in the western USA have undergone marked shifts in management and condition over the past century with broad implications for wildlife habitat and forest ungulates. Timber harvest has a long history on national forests, and indeed, the Organic Act of 1897 specifically includes direction to “furnish a continuous supply of timber”. Timber harvest typically increases forage for ungulates by increasing the availability of light, water, and nutrients for understory vegetation (Riegel et al. 1992). Passage of The Multiple Use-Sustained Yield Act of 1960 signaled growing recognition of non-utilitarian and social values of national forests (Koch and Kennedy 1991) and a general decline in timber harvest in western states (Cook et al. 2016, McIver et al. 2014, McIver et al. 2013, Simmons et al. 2016). Research on ungulates has shown that forest management practices can alter forage quantity (Edenius et al. 2013, Milner et al. 2013, Long et al. 2008a), quality (Wam et al. 2016, Burney and Jacobs 2011, Long et al. 2008a), and habitat use (Heinze et al. 2011, Long et al. 2008b).

In addition to timber harvest practices, policies regarding management of forest fires also affect wildlife habitat. Fire suppression in the northern Rocky Mountains became effective in the 1930s, reducing the extent of forest fires on national forests, even within large wilderness areas (Brown et al. 1994). Fire is an important ecosystem process that increases early-seral vegetation (Leege and Hickey 1971, Merrill et al. 1982, Arno et al. 1985) that provides forage for ungulates such as moose (*Alces alces*, Peak 1974), mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*, Long et al. 2008a, Hobbs and Spowart 1984), and elk (*Cervus*

elaphus, Long et al. 2008a, Sachro et al 2005). Changes in forest management practices, including fire suppression and reduction of timber harvest, have the potential to reduce forage quality and quantity, and thereby impose nutritional limitations on wildlife that forage on early-seral stage plants.

Nutrition affects many components of individual fitness and ultimately population dynamics for numerous ungulate species. Evidence of inadequate nutrition limiting adult or juvenile mass gain has been reported for caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*; Crete and Huot 1993), elk (Cook et al. 2004), roe deer (*Capreolus caperolus*; Gaillard et al. 1996), mule deer (Tollefson et al. 2010), and moose (Bo and Hjeljord 1991, Saether and Heim 1993, Milner et al 2013). Pregnancy rates also have been linked to nutrition and body condition for mule deer (Tollefson et al. 2010), elk (Cook et al. 2001), caribou (Cameron et al 1993), and moose (Ruprecht et al. 2016). In addition, body mass and body condition of neonates, juveniles, and adults have been correlated with survival for caribou (Cameron et al. 1993), bighorn sheep (Festa-Bianchet et al. 1997), elk (Cook et al. 2004, Bender et al. 2008), and moose (Solberg et al. 2004, Hand et al. 2012).

Adequate nutrition is a key factor influencing population dynamics, and there is growing evidence that summer nutrition is especially important for ungulates. The role of summer nutrition in regulating reproduction and survival has been documented for elk (Bender et al. 2004, Cook et al. 2004, Cook et al. 2001), mule deer (Tollefson et al. 2010), and caribou (Crete and Huot 1993), and the same trend has been observed for moose through twinning rates (Franzmann and Schwartz 1985), recruitment (Monteith et al. 2015), and survival (Sand et al. 2012). High spring temperatures and hot and dry summers were correlated with more rapid forage phenological development, decreased forage quality, and

reduced weight gain of moose calves and adults in Norway (Bo and Hjeljord 1991, Solberg et al. 2004). Likewise, recruitment of moose in Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado was reduced by increased spring-summer temperatures, increased rates of green-up, decreased spring-summer precipitation, and shortened springs, presumably due to shortened periods of availability and reduced quality of forage (Monteith et al. 2015). If summer nutrition is inadequate, females can exhibit delayed age at first reproduction and reproductive pauses (Albright and Keith 1987). In addition to needing adequate nutrition for pregnancy and lactation, females also must recoup body mass lost over the previous winter in preparation for the coming winter (Schwartz and Renecker 1997). Failure to recover sufficient fat reserves can predispose individuals to mortality from diverse proximate causes, such as predation (Sand et al. 2012) or parasites (Lankester 2010, Joly and Messier 2004).

Population trends for Shiras moose (*A. a. shirasi*), which occur in the Pacific Northwestern USA and Canada, have been highly variable in recent decades. Populations in Washington and some parts of Idaho have increased (IDFG unpublished data, Muir 2006, Harris 2015), while populations in many states including some in Idaho (IDFG unpublished data), Montana (DeCesare 2014), and Wyoming (Oates et al. 2016) have experienced marked declines. A number of population drivers have been examined nationwide, including forage quality (McArt et al. 2009), predation (Mech and Fieberg 2014, Dussault et al. 2005), parasites and disease (Lankester and Samuel 2007), physiological tolerance to temperature (Lenarz et al. 2009), and indirect effects of climate change on plant phenology (Monteith et al. 2015). However, the mechanisms driving these processes and how they affect survival and reproduction, and ultimately population growth or decline, are not well understood for Shiras moose.

Declines in forage availability and quality could be contributing to declining population trends for some Shiras moose populations in Idaho. Changing forest management practices and continued fire suppression has resulted in advancing forest succession, which could alter forage quality and quantity, especially on national forest lands. However, information on moose diet selection and forage quality and availability necessary for a rigorous test of this hypothesis is limited. Objectives of this study were to fill this information gap for moose populations in northern Idaho by 1) evaluating diet composition and selection, 2) assessing forage quality parameters, 3) estimating forage quantity and quality across the landscape, 4) estimating changes in forage quantity and quality across 30 years, and 5) interpreting results in the context of population indices to evaluate the degree to which forage could be limiting declining populations. We predicted that individuals would select forage species that were both highly available and high in quality because moose are large-bodied, selective browsers that likely need to balance selection for quality with attaining adequate quantity. We also predicted that indices of population trend and productivity would be positively correlated with the current amount of quality forage and the change over time in the amount of quality forage. This information will provide a foundation for evaluating whether forage limitations could be affecting moose population dynamics in northern Idaho. Such knowledge can be used to shape forest management strategies and harvest recommendations, and to direct future research into proximate factors influencing Shiras moose throughout their range.